

Published May 3rd. 2008 by Geotech L.L.C. Open source information.

TTCD Technocracy Technological Control Design. Sometimes known as the Study Guide. This material is presented by Technocracy Incorporated as the basic introduction to the Technocracy Technate design.



This document produced by Technocracy Incorporated Washington. [The Web Site For Technocracy Inc Washington.](#)

This is a critique of that document. [TTCD Technocracy Technological Control Design critique.](#)

Parts of said document have valuable information connected to the North American Technate design.

Other parts are written so poorly and are so poorly connected to the valuable information.. that as a whole.. the valuable information is made worthless by the contradictory and poorly thought out information. Some examples of this are given below.

It has been suggested that the organization Technocracy Incorporated which uses the TTCD as the main vehicle to present Technocracy Technate information to the public .. either drop the TTCD or rewrite the material.

Another intelligent choice would be to highlight and make available to the public the most important and precedent document of this information.. the Technocracy Study Course. [Pdf.TechnocracyStudyCourse-NewOpened.pdf \(application/pdf Object\)](#)

another source. [Google Base: Technocracy Study Course. The North American Technate TNAT](#)

Electronic Edition 1.0, September 2004 (revised)  
Compiled, edited, and published in the U.S.A. and Canada  
by Technocracy's volunteer membership.  
Electronic Edition 1.3, July 2007

Excerpted writing from the TTCD.

Does Technocracy believe in the use of democratic methods?

No, if you mean by this the selection of administrative

personnel by use of the ballot.

It is too hazardous in today's highly integrated, technological society to depend on this random method for selecting the specialized type of personnel required. We need instead a selective technique that will have some better chance of ensuring that people with firsthand knowledge of the functions they are expected to administer will be selected. Such a technique exists in the vertical alignment procedure of promotion used by industry for selecting its supervisory staff in the technical departments. It is a technique that has proved remarkably successful despite Price System interference. A modified application of the vertical alignment promotional procedure will be employed by the Technate for selecting administrators from bottom to top in all functional sequences. The Sequence Directors, heads of their respective sequences, would constitute the Continental Board; and this body would use the only ballot employed at any stage for selecting a chairman or Continental Director from their midst. This would be by virtue of the fact that there would be no one above him or her to appoint the Continental Director to that function.

Will there be divorce in a Technate?

That will be a matter for the citizens to determine after the Technate has been established. Decisions of this type, which are unrelated to the operation of the Continent's physical equipment, are a matter of public option, the determination of which will be decided by referendum votes.

*Editor comment.* Just previously it was explained that this is not a *democratic system of voting*. This means then contradictory information. There is no voting on morality... ethics... contracts... or aesthetic choice in a Technate. That would be a form of Democratic slavery to belief system issues and people control.. something that is precluded in the Technate design for North America. Referendum voting defeats the purpose of scientific government. Opinions are not facts. A Price System style *civil control through contracts of behavior* (*Democracy = referendum voting*) is not a part of the Technate design for North America. The only referendum vote ever endorsed by the original group.. was the possibility of a referendum vote concerning one issue. That issue is voting *in* a Technate from a Price System political control. [TechnateDesignSome-basicfactsTNAT-TheNorthAmericanTechnate](#)

While in this case it is probable that the decision would be in the affirmative, it is just as probable that the removal of those economic causes that play so large a part in domestic discord will result in a considerably lower divorce rate than now prevails after the initial period of adjustment.

*Editor comment.* Contracts between individuals in a Technate are prohibited. You can not control another human by civil society contractual law in the North American Technate design. Both marriage and divorce are aspects of civil society control. Those aspects are not state controlled.. and are not controlled by referendum democracy voting either. It is up to the individual if they care to share their living situation with another human.

Would there be any special consideration given to people working in dangerous or unpleasant occupations?

Quite possibly, but the determination of this would have to be made by the citizens of the Technate after it is established. From the present perspective, it can be suggested that should there be any such occupations, the people performing them might be compensated by being allowed longer vacation periods, earlier retirements or possibly even medals of recognition. Obviously, they could not be rewarded by additions to their consuming power or by improvements in their living conditions, for these would already be granted at top level to all

**Editor comment.** How could it be that people will be rewarded with earlier retirements.. or longer vacation periods ? That defeats the purpose of a system based on equality. It would also make for a special class of people that are either privileged or underprivileged. This defeats the purpose of an equality based system.

Citizens of the Technate who plan a trip abroad would be granted the equivalent of their domestic income in foreign currency, secured by the Technate through foreign credits. Upon their return, travelers would relinquish any foreign money they might have in their possession.

**Editor note.** `` Citizens of the Technate who plan a trip abroad would be granted the equivalent of their domestic income in foreign currency''

There is no such thing as an equivalent of domestic income... *it is not income*. That is a money term and energy accounting is not a money (reward and punishment) system. The concept also of being *granted the equivalent of their domestic income* is a misleading way to describe this and does not relate to the concept of energy accounting in the Technate design for North America. These are money terms.. and not appropriate as a construct for explaining these issues. [Energy Accounting-Fezer-TNAT-TheNorthAmericanTechnate](#)

## Energy Accounting

A Method of Distribution that employs Energy Credits and accounts for the total energy created, transformed, and consumed to provide a stable, balanced load for maximum production of goods and services while minimizing waste of resources.

**Editors note.** This system does not *employ* Energy Credits. The term is either energy certificate or energy unit. Applying monetary terms to this information is confusing and misleading.

## Energy Credit

A form of currency used by Energy Accounting  
Energy Credits are non-transferable, and are only valid for the duration of the balanced load period. Energy Credits are replenished to full level for each citizen at the beginning of each balanced-load cycle.

**Editor note.** The term energy credit is a misnomer if applied to the Technate design for North America. Energy Accounting is not a credit or debit system. You can not have credit without debit. Neither term applies to the concept of a Technate. It is not a form of currency. It can not be compared to a form of currency. Energy accounting is an accounting system only.

## [Technate Design Basic TNAT.](#)

.

### Politics

A means of social control by controlling people, whether democratically or autocratically. This differs from a Technocracy in that a Technocracy controls technology, not people.

**Editors note.** There is no separation into Technology areas and referendum voting areas in a technate for the purpose of determining codes of behavior based on peoples opinions (referendum voting.. democracy).

There are no laws in a Technate.

There is no Judicial system in a Technate.

There are trained personal to deal with problematic/sociopath behavior.. violence and such. By endorsing referendum voting on social control issues the TTCD contradicts the very basis of Technocratic ideas as formatted in the Technocracy Study Course.

This defeats the purpose of scientific governance. This contradicts obviously the whole concept of referendum voting endorsed in the TTCD. This in effect makes the information in the TTCD look ridiculous because it ridiculously contradicts itself. It is saying it is not a democratic system and yet it advocates for democracy referendum voting on social issues.

Huh ?

## [Technate design & Democracy ?](#)

### Price System

Any system whatsoever that effects the distribution of its goods and services on a basis of commodity evaluation and that employs any form of debt tokens or money. By this definition, every economy on Earth beyond the tribal stage, is a Price System. This includes all forms of democracy, capitalism, communism, socialism, and fascism.

**Editors note.** It is possible to create a Price System using Technocratic methods of reward and punishment. Energy Credits as a description should never be used to describe energy accounting for that reason. This misleading term is confusing. The TTCD referendum democracy point of view includes the concept of referendum voting on ethics.. morality.. aesthetics and contracts of behavior.

Thus this information contradicts itself. Social issues will never be voted on in a Technate.

That defeats the purpose of scientific government. That would also make special interest groups that control the running of components of the Technate through their opinions.

Opinions are never fact. Technocracy Technate design is an administration by science not an oligarchic people control system using energy controls and referendum voting. This is a very basic point that is misconstrued in the TTCD.

What is Technocracy's attitude toward religion and the church?

Technocracy ensures complete freedom of belief and worship to every individual unless, of course, the expression of that freedom involves some practice that is inimical to the social good. For instance, should some sect start promoting and practicing human sacrifice, such practice would certainly be dealt with firmly.

**Editors note.** Dealt with firmly ? This is neither clever nor informative.. only poorly

expressed and poorly written.

In this latter instance, religion is considered as a mode of action, and modes of action call for quite different handling from modes of belief where the greatest possible freedom may be allowed.

There is nothing in the program of Technocracy that would prevent groups of people from joining together in a common project such as the erection of a place of worship.

**Editors note.** Yes there is. That would make a special interest group and special interest groups always deprive others of something. That is antithetical to the Technate design. That would give a special interest group control of resources such as land and construction material and also make a special building for a special interest. This is not part of the design of the Technate for North America. Building a church for a special interest group is a *mode of action* that is prohibited. The Technate does not care about what people believe. Scientific administration allows people to believe as they wish. They can not band together as a special interest group though and demand others to give them special privileges for their belief system concepts.

Who ever they might choose as their religious leader would probably be released from other functions to perform full time in that capacity.

**Editors note.** No they would not. You can not make up a fake *job* in a Technate.

That person would, of course, continue to receive full consuming power along with all other citizens.

**Editors note.** Energy Accounting is not a reward and punishment system. People are not deprived of their consuming power in any situation. They are not rewarded for it in any situation. It is given as part of the scientific social design of the Technate for North American. The Technate design is a secular humanitarian non political system by default. It does not support belief systems and would not build any type special interest meeting houses or allow them to be built to a special purpose.. for a special interest group.. whether there is one in the special interest group or a million in the special interest group.

The removal of the necessity to finance the minister's services and to pay for the place of worship would lift one of the heaviest burdens that church goers must shoulder in the interests of their belief.

**Editors note.** This is sadly laughable. It is not connected with the Technate design for North America. The term *finance* is not connected to the design. A ministers services is not actually a good or service provided except to a special interest group. `` To *pay for* the place of worship "

`` in the *interests* of their *belief*. " This is sadly lacking in any connection what so ever in relation to the Technate design for North America. Churches ? Why not mosques and temples and Scientology centers and Buddhist and Mormon buildings also ? Why indeed. Because this type of special interest nonsense would not happen in the Technate as designed in the Technocracy Study Course and endorsed by the original Technocracy Incorporated.

Technocracy has long pointed out that when the mortgage is removed from the outside of a church and the collection plate is removed from the inside, then true religious principles might be practiced.

**Editors note.** True religious principles ? Technocracy Incorporated Washington has introduced religious principles into the Technate design for North America in a document that is mostly a laughing stock to any intelligent person that understands the Technate design and the reasons the design was offered in the form it was offered by the Technical Alliance. That form precluded special interest groups.

In summary the TTCD document... the poorest document ever written in regard to

Technocracy Technate issues should be permanently deleted or completely rewritten. The current version is an embarrassment to any that actually understand the original information and why the original information was used.

In effect the TTCD no matter how glossy its appearance is poorly presented and inaccurate information.. it does not reflect the actual concepts of the Technate design for North America.. and as a recruiting tool dooms Technocracy Incorporated Washington to a place of ridicule and failure... if they are interested in attracting people to the cause of adopting the program from the Technocracy Study Course then this document is counter productive to put it mildly. Any person that looks at this information will see that it is poorly written and relies on a nonsense style of *telling people how to think* instead of an intellectual and scientific approach.

Technocracy Technate concepts can not be *sold* nor dumbed down in an effort to attract an audience.

Either people understand the information or they do not. By trying to *talk down to people* in the anti-intellectual manner as the TTCD is written in.. only the ignorant or stupid will take this document to heart despite the fact that it does contain some good information. The bad information in effect destroys the good information. The TTCD is a misrepresentation of the basic concepts and ideas of the historical organization known as Technocracy Incorporated.  
[The North American Technate TNAT](#)

Finally.. this is a term used to break down a certain style of writing that has no place when trying to explain a subject :

**Weasel words** are small phrases attached to the beginning of a statement, such as "some argue that..." or "critics say...", *etc.*. A serious problem with weasel-worded statements, aside from their veracity, is that their implication is misleading or too vague to substantiate. One problem with weasel words is that they can imply a statement is true when it may be no truer than its inverse. For example, an editor might preface the statement "Montreal is the best city in the world" with a disclaimer: "*some people say* that Montreal is the best city in the world". This is true: some people *do* say that Montreal is the best city in the world. The problem is that the reverse is true as well (some people say Montreal is not the best city in the world, and some go further and say that it is the worst), and it is thus easy to write a misinformed, slanted article composed of nothing but 'facts' like these, using media to spread hearsay, personal opinion and propaganda. All it takes is for somebody to add "Critics have asserted that..." to a statement, and there is a danger that the casual reader will take their word for it.